

## PASC Board Meeting October 5, 2020

1. Call to order: The Personal Assistance Services Council (PASC) Board Meeting was called to order at 1:03pm.

Donna Fields called the roll: Wilma Ballew, Denny Chan, Jorge Chuc, Steven Echor, Donna Fields, Lyn Goldfarb, Andre Green, Janet Heinritz-Canterbury, Richard Hernandez, Lillibeth Navarro, and Chris Otero were present.

2. Introduction of others present (For those with vision impairment): The public announced themselves.

3. Mission Statement –

Steven Echor read the mission statement. **The Personal Assistance Services Council (PASC) strives to improve In Home supportive Services (IHSS) support independence and enhance the quality of life for all who receive and provide In Home Supportive Services.**

Moment of Silence – Moment of Silence was observed for all requested intentions.

4. Public Comment - None.

5. Consent Agenda

- a) Minutes – Approval of the August 10, 2020 Minutes – *Attachment C-1*
- b) Minutes – Approval of the September 14, 2020 Minutes – *Attachment C-2*
- c) Financial Report – Approval of August 2020 – *Attachment D-1*
- d) Financial Report – Approval of August 2020 NPER – *Attachment D-2*
- e) Activity Calendar – Attachment E
- f) PASC Board Performance Measures August 2020 – *Attachment F*

Motion to approve the consent agenda moved by Richard Hernandez, second by Steven Echor, motions passes with consensus.

Lyn Goldfarb stated that in the August minutes, she suggested that the Board goals be listed and Janet Heinritz-Canterbury stated that she will send Mr. Oliver the notes for the Board goals and he will add it to the minutes.

6. PASC Board Elections

Donna Fields called the vote for each office three times.

**Board Chair –**

Steven Echor nominated Janet Heinritz-Canterbury for Board Chair and Wilma Ballew second.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury accepted the nomination.

**Vice Chair –**

Wilma Ballew nominated Andre Green for Vice Chair and Janet Heinritz-Canterbury second.

Andre Green accepted the nomination.

Andre Green nominated Wilma Ballew for Vice Chair and she declined.

**Treasurer –**

Lyn Goldfarb nominated Chris Otero for Treasurer and Lillibeth Navarro second.

Chris Otero accepted the nomination.

**Secretary –**

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury nominated Donna Fields for Secretary and Wilma Ballew second.

Donna Fields accepted the nomination.

**Member-at-Large –**

Andre Green nominated Richard Hernandez for Member-at-Large and Lyn Goldfarb second.

Richard Hernandez accepted the nomination.

Richard Hernandez nominated Jorge Chuc for Member-at-Large and Steven Echor second.

Jorge Chuc accepted the nomination.

Lillibeth Navarro nominated Denny Chan for Member-at-Large and he declined.

Vote was called 7 to 5, Jorge Chuc remains as the Member-at-Large.

**Donna Fields called the slate as officers and they read as follows:**

**Board Chair –** Janet Heinritz-Canterbury

**Vice Chair –** Andre Green

**Treasurer –** Chris Otero

**Secretary –** Donna Fields

**Member-at-Large –** Jorge Chuc

Donna Fields made motion to approve the slate of officers as read above, motion passes with consensus.

## 7. Board Chair Report - Janet Heinritz-Canterbury

### a) Comments

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury commented on the Tuesday night's session which is called "We Are Relevant" and its time change to 3pm to 4pm regarding the budget and meeting with legislators as well as voting and voter's registration. She also stated that she would like Board members to participate in the Tuesday night sessions if possible and explained the importance of it.

Public comment was asked about the time for the Tuesday night session and Janet Heinritz-Canterbury reiterated the time.

Donna Fields also stated that on the Tuesday nights session, that other topics are discussed as well.

## 8. Rebranding Committee - Lyn Goldfarb

Lyn Goldfarb reported that the recent branding work group meetings were completed and she was thankful for the participation of the committee and the PASC staff for their work. (Audible issues). She also stated that hopefully by the next Board meeting, she can present the Board with the new PASC brochure.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury thanked everyone as well for their participation.

## 9. Executive Director's Report

Mr. Thompson also thanked everyone as well for their participation in the Rebranding Committee and stated that the final product will be presented to the Board. He also stated that most of the information will be transferred to the PASC website and he stated that if the Board sees things that they would like on the PASC website, to please let Lyn or Janet know and they will let Greg know and he will try to incorporate it in the PASC website.

Mr. Thompson also congratulated Lyn Goldfarb for her Best Short Documentary and Lyn stated that she will try to find a way to share it with everyone and gave a brief summary about her documentary regarding her father and about toys and aging.

Mr. Thompson also apologized to Ms. Fields regarding the title error on the PASC Annual Report and stated that it will be re-printed and re-distributed with the corrections to the appropriate people. He also stated that if anyone sees any other errors, to please let him know because this is a permanent document.

### a) County Updates

Greg Thompson stated that he's been working on, is the Stand-Alone Aging Adults with Disability Department that the LA County BOS has approved and has instructed the CEO to move forward, he wants to see what that will look like. He stated that it is his understanding that IHSS will move into that department as well as the aging departments, APS and he will continue to gain information and try to give input. He stated that he has met with some BOS deputies and has more scheduled (audible issues). He also stated that he will continue to be moving forward with the Stand-Alone Department as was discussed previously and hope that the department will be more focused on aging and people with disabilities. He stated that he will also continue to advocate that they keep the current structure of PASC as an independent Public Authority. He talked about the contract would change with the new department and call Aging and adults with disabilities.

He also talked about Project Room-Key that he has been involved with for the past six months. He describes what the departments which is ran by PASC staff Stephanie Spicola and her team. He also commented on Brandi Orton and other's involvement and policy change with Project Room-Key and the discrimination that they faced when the constituents were transitioning from being homeless in to Project Room-Key. He also stated that Project Room-Key is moving into Project Home-Key which PASC will try to stay involved in.

Mr. Thompson also stated that he is part of a group called the IHSS Homeless Task Force and this group has been meeting with the homeless initiative and LASA. This group is looking at calling it either a contract mode or and agency mode for people who are experiencing homelessness, who need a different or higher leveled trained IHSS provider. They are also looking at a model that is currently being used in San Francisco. He also reminded the Board that Home Bridge gave a presentation to the Board last year and they are moving forward and they are going to presenting a financial model, looking at the contract or agency model. Mr. Thompson stated that he is advocating for a higher or second tier level IHSS provider that will serve everyone. He also stated that over the years it has been notices that some consumers struggle over the IP Mode and they need assistance in the hiring, training and supervising and being the employer part of IHSS. He believes that they would want to pilot this project and will be a big issue but he will continue in the meetings and dialogues. He reiterated the contract or agency mode for constituents experiencing homelessness and he will be advocating for the entirety of people on IHSS in LA County who would benefit from a trained or a higher leveled care provider.

b) State Updates

Mr. Thompson talked about the EPG efforts that PASC has been doing which has been very time consuming and costly. He stated that the good thing is, that the state has been giving PASC EPG supplies and the state just released the CFO stating that they are also going to be giving public authorities administrative dollars to distribute EPGs. He stated that PASC is working with DPSS contracts to get PASC's contract amended so it can accept the state and federal additional monies to continue to give out the EPGs.

Mr. Thomson talked about AB79, which was a bill that passed last year and the two major changes to the new provider enrollment requirements, #1 the labor organization and each county will be going first in the orientation 20 minute introduction to the Union and the benefits of being a member and the second piece of this is that, the counties will have to distribute all of the information the names and addresses of the people who sign up to attend an orientation, this is prior to actually becoming a provider. So as soon as someone registers for the county's new provider orientation, the county would then give that information to the labor unions. He stated that LA County has been waiting for the final ACL to come out which has already come out and PASC will be meeting with SEIU to discuss their expectations of this bill, which is statute and then PASC will meet with DPSS and talk about implementation.

Greg Thompson stated that the third thing that is happening on a state level is that they just finished the master plan on aging long-term supportive services component and they received over 700 recommendations on what should be included in the governor's master plan on aging for long term and supportive services.

Greg Thompson stated that they will now submit this to the governor by December with a plan of implementing the approved initiatives or changes on the master plan on aging. He also stated that there's probably still time to weigh in if the Board chooses to and there is the engage website and other ways that the Board can contact them.

Greg Thompson stated that Janet Heinritz-Canterbury has mentioned the PASC is relevant and felt is to get people to vote and it is extremely critical, not just in the presidential election, but in

also the house and the Senate elections. PASC has been encouraging people to register, to vote and two PASC has been giving links on how to find out who their state assembly members are, who their state senators are and encouraging people to read up on that because those are the people and that's where the money starts at the federal level. PASC has been following the heroes act the heels act heels act two and that's a lot of money that will be coming to California and encouraging people to get involved.

Mr. Thompson also commented on the President of the United States and the First Lady tested positive for COVID-19 but has not been following that story.

Lillibeth Navarro asked a question regarding a possible issue with the election in regards to some recipients may ask their providers to drop off their absentee ballots on their behalf and Mr. Thompson stated that he does not have the answer to that but will try to find out if they will be questioned.

Steven Echor asked a question on when the election ballots are supposed to be mailed and some Board members answered that question stating that a large portion have been mailed.

Donna Fields asked a question regarding the vote pertaining to the new BOS to create a stand-alone for seniors and Mr. Thompson stated that at the last Board meeting he was presenting a motion that he would continue to advocate for PASC and there was not a quorum but it was his impression from the discussion from most people were in support of the two main issues, one, PASC would stay independent public authority and did not hear an objection to the stand-alone department and he stated that if the Board wanted to do a motion, they could.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury stated that a motion can do be done and Mr. Thompson stated that a motion can be done unless there is an objection and he talked about the stipulations that the CEO was given a directive in July and they have 120 days to come back with structured information on the Department. He reiterated his advocacy to keep PASC independent.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury shared her thoughts and stated that she has two comments. One is her biggest fear for the agency is that they need to recognize that PASC is an independent public authority and PASC want to maintain that status and by having a motion from the Board is important. Second comment is, she really would like to have a good discussion with the Board about a motion to very clearly say that PASC is opposed to the contract mode coming into IHSS in any form whatsoever and PASC are very much for enhanced services and any way that those can be implemented.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury stated a motion stating that the PASC Board would support Mr. Thompson's involvement in those conversations about the super agency and PASC's involvement and maintaining PASC's independent public authority model within the new structure.

Greg Thompson recommended that it is important that the Board acknowledge that the Board supports the new stand-alone agency and Lillibeth Navarro second.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury re-stated the motion: The Board make a motion that the PASC Board supports exploring the super agency and the Board supports Mr. Thompson's involvement in those discussions and the Board support the continuation of PASC, the independent provider and the independent public authority model of the PASC

Lillibeth Navarro reiterated her second on the motion.

Brandi Orton asked a question and stated that, whether or not, Mr. Thompson is involved in those conversations is not going to change whether those conversations move forward or not.

She also stated that the conversation and already moving forward at the county, whether we approve it, or support it or not. Her question is, what is PASC trying to get, she stated that she is in full support of Mr. Thompson going to those conversations she has full trust that he will present the needs of people with disabilities and seniors and that help bring that voice to those conversations. She stated that she thinks what the Board is trying to get at would be a larger conversation for the PASC Board to have around. She stated that she can see PASC discussing does PASC see a revenue generating opportunity to bring more revenue into the organization by being able to provide enhanced services/contract mode?

Brandi Orton also stated that she doesn't think we need to vote on Mr. Thompson being involved in those conversations because they're happening regardless, right. She also stated that she doesn't think that the Board should be dictating what Thompson goes to those meetings and says, she has full trust in him to go to those meetings and represent the needs of the agency and be the people who we serve.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury reiterated the current model that PASC has is an independent Governing Board and there are two models for public authorities. One is an advisory Board and the other is a governing Board, PASC is an independent Governing Board.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury and Brandi Orton were discussing the above topic.

Mr. Thompson stated that he is not in the conversation regarding the models concepts and is trying to get in these conversations. He also spoke about Brandi Orton's points and that there are going to be some push backs from different entities and for an example, DPSS may not want to give up IHSS and some of the programs that WEDAC has, may not want to relinquish them as well and he does think there any harm in PASC giving support and would not mind if the Board supported this new concept.

Brandi Orton stated that this might be a good time to speak to the BOS deputies and Mr. Thompson said yes, he is speaking with them.

Mr. Thompson stated that if the Board thinks it is a good idea to have a new agency would have a narrower focus on aging and adults with disabilities that will strengthening IHSS in LA County, PASC should probably go on record one way or the other and then remaining independent and he explained what would happened if PASC was not independent.

Andre Green asked if the county or other affiliates that PASC is associated with is in favor of the motion and PASC being independent and Mr. Thompson stated that deputies that he has spoke with support the idea but also not giving much information on it.

Brandi Orton thanked Mr. Thompson for the clarification and understand what he is trying to achieve. She also stated writing and putting something in writing and submitting it and she also thinks that having other Board members lobby on behalf of PASC. She also stated that she would be happy to set up some meetings with a deputy and take a proactive stance to start a discussion with the office who is making the decisions. She also explained the time line and budget issues with the county by moving IHSS from DPSS and programs out of WEDAC.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury explained the PASC model and Brandi Orton asked if Janet Heinritz-Canterbury would like to schedule a meeting for those Board members who want to be involved



to educate them about the details so they can understand and Janet Heinritz-Canterbury stated that she can do that and Mr. Thompson and he can figure it out.

Mr. Thompson explained the models and wants the motion to be clear.

Approved Motion made by Janet Heinritz-Canterbury, seconded by Steven Echor: Motion passes with one abstention.

**The PASC Board supports the IHSS being moved to the new aging and adults with disabilities department and PASC remaining as an independent public authority.**

Andre Green asked for clarification on the motion that was made last month that was not approved because there was not a quorum and Janet Heinritz-Canterbury explained what the difference of the motions.

Mr. Thompson talked about the second issue regarding the IHSS Task Force which putting together a proposal which he is not involved in with the housing initiative to consider a contract model for Project Room-Key in regards to housing and homelessness. Mr. Thompson feels that there needs to be a different level of voluntary trained IHSS provider and he has had meeting with the SEIU training center.

Mr. Thompson stated that he would like to hear from the PASC Board if they have an opposition of bringing an agency or contract mode of IHSS of any kind in LA County and as he moves forward with this group, that he is not supporting that and that he is advocating for enhanced services.

Lillibeth Navarro stated a motion:

Approved motion made by Lillibeth Navarro and second by Janet Heinritz-Canterbury, motion passes with 1 abstention.

**The PASC Governing Board is opposed to introducing contract or agency mode of IHSS in LA County, however, the Board is in support of enhanced IHSS services.**

Brandi Orton commented on this topic and stated that: The context of all of this is that Mr. Thompson is going to need to explain to people the difference because all they've ever heard his contract mode so they don't even really know what the difference between contract mode and enhanced services and reiterated what she stated earlier and about driving revenue to PASC and to better serve people enhanced services and she goes into more details about educating people on what this means and the end goals.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury stated that this is structural thing and by bringing extra money into PASC to offer more services to people who need them, is great. She stated that this is absolutely wonderful setting up a whole separate agency but doing a whole separate contract, not good.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury commented and stated that PASC is supportive of enhanced services for consumed for IHSS within the structure of PASC.

Greg Thompson stated that the people that are delivering the message, he has explained to them the difference between contract mode agency mode and from the beginning, they have not wanted to steer away from that term, however, the good news is, they're presenting it to Phil Ansel and knows what contract mode is.

Brandi Orton stated that she can speak the people involved and have a discussion.

Denny Chan asked if there are any other examples out there of enhanced services. Greg Thompson stated that in Santa Clara, he does not know if that's exactly a contract mode or not, but they do have enhanced services and when it comes up on the spreadsheets that he has seen, San Francisco and Contra Costa have the two contract modes.

Greg Thompson stated that he will continue to talk to the people outside of that arena and he thinks it's a good opportunity to gain some momentum for enhanced services and eventually hopefully steer the dialogue to enhance services as opposed to it.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury calls for the vote: All in favor, any opposition, any abstentions.

Lyn Goldfarb suggested that the issues that Brandi Orton has brought up and the lack of all of us who are part of the Board really understanding all of this warrants maybe another call or a conversation outside of this Board meeting and Janet Heinritz-Canterbury stated that she will send an email out and she also stated that there are people in CICA that understands the two models and structures and what happens.

Denny Chan suggested that when she schedules that follow up meeting, to also be able to, if someone can provide some more information about how Santa Clara runs their enhanced services and Janet Heinritz-Canterbury stated yes and reminded the Board about Mary Tinker on the call and she talked about how they do that.

c) Federal Updates – Mentioned above.

10. Legislative Report – Debi Hight

Debi Hight called the Board’s attention to the legislative report in the Board packet and she went over it and elaborated on several key points.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury asked for clarification on the second to the last state bill and Debi Hight reiterated what in the report and stated that it was more stringent reporting requirements that are being imposed on employers and that that one had a lot of opposition it past.

Lilibeth Navarro asked about how much time can they over-ride a veto and Debi Hight stated that she is not sure but Janet Heinritz-Canterbury stated that she believes that it's 60 days and she doesn't think there's any history of an override.

Debi Hight also stated that the California Edison website, there are some mechanisms in place about power shut off alerts.

11. DPSS Report - Sonia Miramontes

TOPIC	UPDATE
<p><b>IHSS</b></p>	<p>All DPSS offices continue to be closed to the public.</p> <p>The IHSS Helpline is available for recipients and providers to call M-F from 8am – 5pm, 1-888-822-9622.</p>
<p><b>IHSS Stats</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IHSS Caseload as of September 30, 2020:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Consumers – 235,474</li> <li>❖ Severely Impaired: 34%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Providers – 188,915</li> </ul>
<b>Electronic Visit Verification (EVV)</b>	<p>LA County EVV adoption rate as of September 28, 2020:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 99.87%</li> <li>• 545 paper timesheets users to enroll. Most are recent applicants.</li> </ul>
<b>The Electronic Services Portal</b>	<p>CDSS completed self-service enhancements on the ESP on September 25, 2020:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recipient may hire provider on ESP. <i>(No need to complete SOC426A if done via ESP);</i></li> <li>2. Provider may self-certify as Live-In Provider <i>(SOC 2298 not needed if done on ESP);</i></li> <li>3. Provider may cancel Live-In certification <i>(SOC 2299 not needed if done on ESP);</i></li> <li>4. Provider may request <u>electronic</u> copies of processed timesheets <i>(Copies will be emailed);</i></li> <li>5. Pending applicants may register to ESP. No longer need to wait for case approval;</li> </ol> <p>For more information on this recipients and providers can select the "What's New" tab on the ESP.</p>
<b>On-Line Provider Orientations</b>	<p>DPSS launched its Provider On-Line Orientation (POLO) on April 15, 2020.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As of September 30, 2020: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ 34,205 providers have called to begin the provider enrollment process</li> <li>➤ 26,280 have watched the video</li> </ul> </li> <li>• As of October 1, 2020, orientation waivers expired. CDSS reinstated provider orientation requirements PRIOR to provider enrollment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SOC846</li> <li>○ ID verification</li> <li>○ Attending orientation</li> <li>○ All forms/verifications may be mailed in through December 31, 2020.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>The provider enrollment video is available in English, Spanish, Korean, Vietnamese, Armenian, Farsi, Chinese (Mandarin), Chinese (Cantonese), Cambodian, Russian and Tagalog.</p>
<b>CDSS Updates</b>	<p>As of September 1, 2020, CDSS has reinstated provider overtime violations.</p> <p>IHSS staff must now review violations incurred as of September 1, 2020 and determine if they meet a COVID criteria to be overturned.</p>

<b>IHSS Emergency Back-up COVID Provider</b>	CDSS has extended the differential pay for emergency COVID back-up providers until next year. A recipient who require an emergency COVID back-up provider can hire a family member/friend or reach out to PASC for a provider. The differential rate the emergency COVID provider will be paid is \$17.
<b>Project Room Key (PRK)</b>	Since April 2020, DPSS has been collaborating with LAHSA and its coordinators at the PRK sites, to expedite the IHSS application process for PRK individuals who may be eligible to IHSS.  LAHSA is working on expanding the expedited process to its transitional housing sites.
<b>IHSS Helpline Data</b>	IHSS Helpline call data for September 2020, is not available.

Denny Chan stated that it has been brought to the Board's attention that since the public health emergency was announced in April, there were unfortunately a population of people who had their medical improperly terminated despite state and federal guidance and is his understanding is that that at least from April to July and it is about 75,000 people who were improperly terminated across the state but he has also had heard that that number of the vast majority of them or a good chunk of them, at the very least are in Los Angeles County and he is wondering if DPSS has any insight into how that might have impacted IHSS consumers and if there is a way to find out how many people were on IHSS, and he understands that their Medi-Cal has since been properly restored and if DPSS has any information on the issue of erroneous terminations and how that's been impacting LA County specifically. Sonia Miramontes stated that she did hear talks about it, but she was not involved in the conversation but she does know that there were IHSS cases impacted. She stated that she will ask DPSS who were in the conversations or who have been taking action on these cases to give her an update and she will share it with the Board.

Lillibeth Navarro asked a question about EVV and the enrollment in the electronic services portal and asked what does it mean and how does that compare with other states.

Sonia Miramontes stated that with the on-set of COVID-19, a lot of providers were happy that they enrolled in EVV and because there was no issues impacting their payment because it was all electronic, there was no checks, no time sheets having to be mailed and then mailed back and something stopping it and it didn't slow their payment and the feedback they received that it was faster and she had head that some provider that have direct deposit, they get paid within three days as well as the PASC BUAP.

Donna Fields had a question about the BUAP and Sonia Miramontes stated that that was PASC document and Janet Heinritz-Canterbury stated that Mr. Thompson will address her questions.

Luis Bravo asked for clarification and stated that she mentioned that if a consumer links the provider on the time she portal and that they don't have to fill out the SOC 426 or they have the option to do it online, what did you say about that? Sonia Miramontes stated that recipients that are using the electronic services portal, who are registered on the electronic services portal can now report to the county directly that they have hired a new regular provider and the provider does not have to do the SOC 426A form any more because they consumer will self-certify them through the electronic services portal.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury asked Sonia Miramontes about the number of people in LA County who has done the COVID-19 related event for the extra \$2 an hour and Sonia Miramontes stated that believes it

was 3 and she will get the numbers but they are very low and they manually track because it's a differential, they do have reports, but the numbers are not more than 10.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury also asked about people were going to family members and Sonia Miramontes stated that Yes, she believed during the wellness check staff, DPSS is telling them that if they're not comfortable hiring or having the providers come in, the social workers letting them know they can hire family member, but whoever they hire still have to go through the provider enrollment requirements. Janet Heinritz-Canterbury asked if Ms. Miramontes knew how many family members have gone through the provider enrollment orientation and Sonia Miramontes stated that DPSS has to track it manually because they have to do the differentials. DPSS can't let the providers go on the system as the regular provider because they would receive the lower wage.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury asked about how are consumers getting their services and Sonia Miramontes reiterated what was in her report and stated that the social workers are doing wellness calls. The social worker call the recipients to make sure someone is taking care of them if it's not their provider, then they inform them why isn't their provider going to take care of them or they are not comfortable with it then DPSS needs to make sure someone's helping them to remain safe at home and she will see if there is any data that they can share and the wellness calls are done daily and she would have to get the numbers for a monthly totals from Line Operations.

Denny Chan asked about the number of calls versus people who answered and his other question about if a provider doesn't show up because of COVID-19, what is the remedy for that and Sonia Miramontes stated that they have to make sure that these recipients are being cared for especially the severely impaired and DPSS has been referring consumer to the PASC registry.

Denny Chan asked if there are needs that are identified in that call that aren't really I just says needs, that kind of fall into the larger health and social services world, are their efforts to relay that information to the individuals managed care Medi-Cal plan?

Sonia Miramontes stated that she does not know that their social workers would know which plan they belong to and she would have to check and they would not know that information because that information may not be available to them in CMIPS.

To answer Donna Fields' questions regarding the BUAP numbers, Mr. Thompson went over the PASC Performance Measure for August 2020 and explained it.

Lillibeth Navarro asked about those providers who have been processed to be COVID-19 providers, when it is over, does this end their eligibility to provide services or can they continue as providers for other people if they may wish to? Sonia Miramontes stated that, it doesn't mean anybody was positive for COVID-19, it means that if the recipient and because they fear anyone coming into their home, or even their permanent provider, they can choose to hire a relative or friend whom they are around more often and that relative and friends as long as they complete and pass the provider enrollment requirements, they can be paid that differential and no one has to be positive for COVID-19, they are just calling them the emergency COVID-19 backup providers, so DPSS doesn't confuse that with the BUAP program because in the beginning, it was being confused with the BUAP program and it's not it's different and she explained the rules and process.

## 12. Report from SEIU – Wendy Duchen

Wendy Duchen was not present.

## 13. Unfinished/Old Business – None

#### 14. New Business

Willis Oliver pointed out in the PASC Board packet, that there is the 2021 calendar of the PASC Board meetings and providing that Kenneth Hahn Hall of Admin will allow PASC to go back to the meeting room, he has reserved Room 140 for the year of 2021 and this is something the PASC Board can talk about if they would like to return to in person meetings or stay with the Zoom meetings.

Mr. Oliver also stated that on October 9<sup>th</sup>, it will be his 20<sup>th</sup> year anniversary working with PASC and the Board congratulated Mr. Oliver.

Janet Heinritz-Canterbury asked Mr. Oliver for the Board officer information be updated with the new slate of officers and Mr. Oliver stated that he will update it and he reminded the Board on the updated Board Roster.

Lillibeth Navarro asked about Bertha Poole's funeral services and Mr. Oliver stated that he did not have any information.

#### 15. Closed Session – None

#### 16. Mission Moments – None

#### 17. Adjournment – Janet Heinritz-Canterbury adjourned the meeting 3:18pm